Dual Use Human Rights Technology-What Middlemen know or ought to know (/, , !), (Leaning towards Right, Left Wing, and Go-Betweens as opportunities present) An intervening layer of irrationality under our political culture's rational surface.

However, in both the case of money laundering and the case of what I regard as 'human rights laundering,' there is the group of middlemen at various points of the food chain who know or should know what the ultimate result of this work will be,

But who prefer to look the other way and claim innocence and ignorance.

A system or practice of politics in which accountability is consciously diminished.

The Nobel Peace laureate (1989) and Ulster Unionist leader David Trimble recently said: 'One of the great curses of this world is the human rights industry.

They justify terrorist acts and end up being complicit in the murder of innocent victims.' (The Guardian, January 29, 2004)

More than 50,000 innocent families were wiped off this planet, insurgency was financed from outside India. And Islamic country/ies and its/their muslim agents had a definite role to play.

Asylum International-Outsourcing.



Digitalisation of Name, in order to exploit different authorities/officials in administration in different procedures at various stages.

And then Multiple-changeable Identity-fabricated through replaceable blood relative/s photographs.

Forged at home and made to look like official Birth Certificates and Proofs for given names at birth.

Forgery of certificates with choicest Date of Birth and Names in order to prove a certain cooked up story before the Asylum Granting Authorities.

Forgery of proofs in general.

Conflicting documents fabricated Residence and existence at more than One Place at the same time/moment.



Smoke and Mirror fiction purposely created: so that 'resources' can be in one place, the management of it can be halfway around the world, and the beneficial owner of it can remain well hidden-somewhere else again.

The whole transport cycle (import and export of Dirty Cash) goes through a number of jurisdictions, making it virtually impossible for any prosecuting authority to claim jurisdiction. A few or an individual victim (no matter how seriously exploited) has 'No Chance' under such circumstances.

Concealing true ownership and origin of criminal proceeds.

Maintaining Control of funds.

Changing the form of their ill-gotten gains.

Obscuring the movement of the funds.

Launderers and Money-launderers are like terrorists in some aspects, "I want to go to a place where I don't have to follow any rules/laws, I am the law".

Refugitive.

A Proxy in this business of terror finance and export.

Why would not Proxy make false claims, propagate falsehood, when Proxy know that : laws would unconditionally support them.

laws would not punish them for filing a false case.

eventually the accused will suffer a big loss either due to payment of a monetary compensation or a stigma attached- public incarceration.

laws would not demand any proofs from them.

laws would not allow the accused to disprove their allegations.

Refugitives-Outlaws?

Were the physical scars, the emotional/psychological scars (stories) based upon which the host country granted them (Refugitives ?) Asylum due to persecution

Or

caused during training for becoming Outlaws, insurgents, smugglers, terrorists-for which they volunteered.

Did the host country check/verify this aspect before granting Refugitives a new base? Just in case host country/offshore haven for refugitives destroyed-or sent offshore their records/files; *

Mind you, these persons are claiming to be victims of persecution (read Torture-one hopes that they knew the definition of torture in any language on this planet) and torture in their home country.

Were they frequently involved in confrontations with police/Law Enforcement in their home country?

Did they oppose (violent overthrow) any kind of an organized-structure, both at societal as well as governmental level-believing in a state (under their subordination) within a state ?

Refugee or Robber? And how did the Asylum Seeker gain expertise on social welfare benefits just after landing on EU soil?

How did analphabet just after landing within EU come to know the full range of benefits of having a EU valid Driver's License ?

Is Refugitive Networking?

That is besides the point, did the Asylum/EU Drivers License seeking applicant ever sat behind the steering wheel of an automobile?

Mind you, this analphabet/semi-literate would switch positions depending upon which side is heavier/offer is profitable :

how could Refugitive drive, when he/she couldn't even interpret posted signs?

For example, Refugitive (analphabet/semi-literate) originally comes from a country where hardly anyone (except a few high officials) knows French language and is now demanding a Drivers' License in a French speaking country.

Mind you, these persons are claiming to be victims of persecution (read Torture-one hopes that they knew the definition of torture in any language on this planet) and torture in their home country.

How many EU citizens can buy lotto ticket/s worth about 20,000 €, like these Asylum seekers did/do? And a EU citizen who can afford a 20,000€ onetime disposable napkin would be at the bottom of social heap-a beast of burden?



Proofs:

Smuggling, People Smuggling, Social/welfare parasites, undeclared work, tax evasion and

collecting money by all means- by hook or by crook is their primary function/main objective. Terrorists find it hard to integrate into any Civil Society.

Multiple-assumed names, Acquired Identities:

Life Insurance policies.

Secret Funding/Sponsors.

Transfer of funds overseas for insurgency, covert activities organised by the host country through payments on such Life Insurance policies. The ghost/Virtual person organizes paperwork (virtual death) in the targeted country to justify his/her claim.

Laundromats International.

Money and/or Personnae Laundering (assumed identity-date, place of birth) operations are aided:

EU valid Driver's License.

Multiple-assumed names, Acquired Identities.

How petty crime funds terror Jeffrey Robinson IHT Thursday, August 12, 2004 The money trail

LONDON Over the past few weeks, several events have focused attention on the way terrorists move money, creating the belief that terror-money trails will lead to what is being planned and, once identified, that those events can be stopped.

A federal grand jury indicted a Texas-based Muslim charity for conspiracy, dealing with terrorists and money laundering; a mosque in Albany, New York, was raided as the result of a terrorist money laundering sting; several Al Qaeda terror-money-related arrests were made in various parts of the world; computer files were discovered in Pakistan that appear to show that Al Qaeda was investing funds to target the New York Stock Exchange, Citigroup, Prudential, the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund; and the Sept. 11 commission report recommended an invigorated "follow the money" philosophy in order to track down Al Qaeda. On paper, following the money sounds like a great idea.

In the months leading up to September 2001, Al Qaeda operated like a multinational conglomerate by centrally funding a specific activity. They moved a relatively small amount of money - estimates range from \$300,000-\$500,000 - through charities and alternative remittance systems, known as hawala networks, and were able to exploit the Western banking system with wires, credit cards and ATM machines. But the argument that we can stop Al Qaeda next time by following terror dollars through charities, hawala networks and electronic transfers is misconceived.

